



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/753,367 | 01/09/2004 | Makoto Takemura | Q79381 | 6406 | |
| 23373 | 7590 01/10/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. | | | AULAKH, CHARANJIT | | |
| SUITE 800 | ILVANIA AVENUE, N.W. | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20037 | | | 1625 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/10/2003 | 5 | |

2...2......

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Applicat | Application No. Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/753,3 | 67 | TAKEMURA ET | TAKEMURA ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examine | r · | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | | S. Aulakh | 1625 | | | | |
| The Period for Re | e MAILING DATE of this communicate ply | ion appears on th | e cover sheet wit | h the correspondence a | ddress | | | |
| THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re | ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATORY IN A COMMUNICATION IN A COMMUNIC | TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no enation. ys, a reply within the starty period will apply and word on the starty statute, cause the apply and word of the starty statute, cause the apply and word statute, cause the apply and word of the starty statute. | vent, however, may a re tutory minimum of thirty vill expire SIX (6) MONT plication to become ABA | ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered time HS from the mailing date of this of the constant of the | | | | |
| Status | | | | · . | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Res | ponsive to communication(s) filed or | n <u>08 November 2</u> | 2004. | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | | | |
| 3)□ Sinc | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is | | | | | | | |
| clos | ed in accordance with the practice u | ınder <i>Ex parte</i> Q | <i>uayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. | 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition o | f Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Clai | m(s) <u>3 and 13-19</u> is/are pending in t | he application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) (| Of the above claim(s) is/are w | vithdrawn from co | onsideration. | | | | | |
| 5)∐ Claii | m(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claii | m(s) <u>3 and 13-19</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claii | m(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)∐ Claiı | m(s) are subject to restriction | and/or election | equirement. | | | | | |
| Application P | apers | | | | | | | |
| 9)□ The : | specification is objected to by the Ex | caminer. | | | | | | |
| 10)∏ The (| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Appli | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Repl | acement drawing sheet(s) including the | correction is requi | red if the drawing(s | s) is objected to. See 37 C | FR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11)□ The (| oath or declaration is objected to by | the Examiner. N | ote the attached | Office Action or form P | TO-152. | | | |
| Priority under | r 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| | owledgment is made of a claim for f b) Some * c) None of: | oreign priority ur | der 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| 1. | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. | and sopres or me promy doe | | | | | | | |
| 3. | • | | | eceived in this National | Stage | | | |
| * Soo th | application from the International I | • | ` '' | anai, and | | | | |
| ડેક્ટ ((| ne attached detailed Office action for | га пъсогите сеп | med copies not r | eceivea. | ٠ | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | i | | | |
| 1) Notice of R | eferences Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview Su | ımmary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| | raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 | | Paper No(s) | /Mail Date | 0.453) | | | |
| | Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ //Mail Date | (2R/08) | 6) Other: | ormal Patent Application (PT - | U-102) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/753,367 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

1. According to paper filed on Nov. 8, 2004, the applicants have amended claim 13 and furthermore, have added new claims 14-19.

2. Claims 3 and 13-19 are now pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on Nov. 8, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive regarding prior art rejection. The applicants have amended claims to overcome indefiniteness and statutory double patenting rejections. In regard to prior art rejection, the examiner does not agree with the applicants arguments that in Mitsuyuki's reference, substituent on the propyl ring of compound of formula (I) is generally a halogen atom and Mitsuyuki does not recognize the advantage of the substituent being a F atom. This is not true. Actually, propyl ring is indeed substituted with F in all exemplified compounds listed on page 88 including compound 41 (same compound as claimed in instant application) as well as in all the compounds claimed in claims 5-7.

NEW GROUNDS OF REJECTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1625

In claim 13, line 2, the term ---by a process---is vague and indefinite since it is not clear whether the claim is a method claim or a process claim. The applicants are suggested to delete this term. Also, in line 3, the term ---mammal of fish---is vague and indefinite since its meaning is not clear. The applicants are suggested to replace -of---with -or---.

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 14-17 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 6 and 16 of copending Application No. 10/275,972. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because antibacterial composition or method of treating bacterial infections using hydrate of an acid addition salt of a formula (I a) compound of the cited application is encompassed by the antibacterial composition and method of treating bacterial infections of instant claims.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/753,367 Page 4

Art Unit: 1625

Conclusion

8. Rejection of claims 3 and 13-19 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) is maintained for the reasons of record.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charanjit S. Aulakh whose telephone number is (571)272-0678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on (571)272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/753,367

Art Unit: 1625

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charanjit S. Aulakh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625